## POWER

let us assume in turn that a somewhat careful scrutiny will show that power in modern societies has not in fact governed sexuality through law and sovereignty; let us suppose that historical analysis has revealed the presence of a veritable "technology" of sex, one that is much more complex and above all much more positive than the mere effect of a "defense" could be;

of the law, in the various social hegemonies. tion is embodied in the state apparatus, in the formulation take effect, whose general design or institutional crystallizafrom one another; and lastly, as the strategies in which they trary, the disjunctions and contradictions which isolate them another, thus forming a chain or a system, or on the conorganization; as the process which through ceaseless strugsphere in which they operate and which constitute their own them; as the support which these force relations find in one gles and confrontations, transforms, strengthens, or reverses seems to me that power must be understood in the first rather, these are only the terminal forms power takes. It or the over-all unity of a domination are given at the outset; instance as the multiplicity of force relations immanent in the assume that the sovereignty of the state, the form of the law, social body. The analysis, made in terms of power, must not effects, through successive derivations, pervade the entire nation exerted by one group over another, a system whose Finally, I do not have in mind a general system of domiwhich, in contrast to violence, has the form of the rule. By power, I do not mean, either, a mode of subjugation that ensure the subservience of the citizens of a given state. mean "Power" as a group of institutions and mechanisms spect to its nature, its form, and its unity. By power, I do not number of misunderstandings-misunderstandings with rethe word power is apt to lead to a

Power is everywhere; not because it embraces everything, but because it comes from everywhere. And "Power," insofar as it is permanent, repetitious, inert, and self-reproducing, is simply the over-all effect that emerges from all these mobilities, the concatenation that rests on each of them and seeks in turn to arrest their movement. One needs to be nominalistic, no doubt: power is not an institution, and not a structure; neither is it a certain strength we are endowed with; it is the name that one attributes to a complex strategical situation in a particular society.

Since the classical age the West has undergone a very profound transformation of these mechanisms of power. "Deduction" has tended to be no longer the major form of power but merely one element among others, working to incite, reinforce, control, monitor, optimize, and organize the forces under it: a power bent on generating forces, making them grow, and ordering them, rather than one dedicated to impeding them, making them submit, or destroying them.

a power that exerts a positive influence on life, that endeavors to administer, optimize, and multiply it, subjecting it to precise controls and comprehensive regulations.

power centered on life. izing society is the historical outcome of a technology of whose functions are for the most part regulatory. A normalsubjects; it effects distributions around the norm. I do not tinuum of apparatuses (medical, administrative, and so on) the law operates more and more as a norm, and that the the institutions of justice tend to disappear, but rather that mean to say that the law fades into the background or that separates the enemies of the sovereign from his obedient murderous splendor; it does not have to draw the line that appraise, and hierarchize, rather than display itself in its value and utility. Such a power has to qualify, measure, of sovereignty, but of distributing the living in the domain of judicial institution is increasingly incorporated into a conis no longer a matter of bringing death into play in the field needs continuous regulatory and corrective mechanisms. It But a power whose task is to take charge of life