At the core is a particularly interesting question: exactly does this legacy comprise? To your research into music history? The sketchbooks provide insights into the very specific music culture of the period around 1800. Coletti- ni was very well connected – and we are given glimpses into her everyday life as a singer and cultural mediator. Because for a long time she was a prima donna in Naples, and she also performed in Vienna. Inspired by mus- icological gender research we ask: what really means “music” and what means “musical culture”? Because the sketchbooks reveal a very differ- ent assessment of the importance of the people who were active in this environment. The focus is not on the composer but rather on the opera as an event in which many different people participate. So here we see that the opera phenomenon is not confined to the actions of famous composers, but that those composers are active within a whole group of people. Music is therefore more than just what the composer puts down on paper.

Can you name an example?

There is one drawing by Coletti- ni which shows the composer Giovanni Paisiello listening to Coletti- ni as she sits at the harpsichord. Another unidentified person is also listening. But in this picture the composer is part of a sphere of activity in which the singer plays an equally active role. And that is the point here: not to focus on the work but on the event, whether it’s a rehearsal or a stage performance in which everyone plays their part – the singers, the conductor, the compo- ser, the librettist, the impresario who makes sure everyone does what they are supposed to, the stage hands and so on.

Musicologist Melanie Unseld talks about the legacy of singer and drawer Celeste Coletti- ni – and what it says about the classical music scene in the period around 1800.

Celeste Coletti- ni was a famous sin- ger in her time. She lived from 1760 to 1828 and was part of Vienna’s classical music scene in the period around 1800 that we now associate so heavily with Mozart. But Coletti- ni was not just a singer, she also made a lot of drawings. Researchers have now been given access to her ar- tistic legacy for the first time. What exactly does that legacy comprise?

As the core is a particularly interesting collection of six sketchbooks. The fa- mily, which so generously gave me ac- cess to the legacy, always made a point of documenting the fact that its female members had been artistically acti- ve across several generations. Celeste Coletti- ni was one of them. We have now been able to evaluate her sketch- books. Carola Bebermeier, a doctoral candidate in my department, wrote her dissertation on the subject.

Can pictures also serve as musicolo- gical sources?

Yes, absolutely. But this requires an exchange between disciplines. Here at the University the work on the the- ory and history of art is highly advan- ced and raises new questions about approaches to visual culture. It is based on the premise that the visual is not immediately evident, but rather that images “allow something to be seen.” However, they can also conceal things. It is an approach that doesn’t aim to fully interpret pictures but to see them as part of the cognitive process. This is also productive for historical musicolo- gy because here too, it is important not to use pictures in a purely illustrational way but to see them as valuable in their own right.

And you are using this approach with the sketchbooks too. What signifi- cance does this discovery have for your research into music history?

This and other indicators suggest that it is indeed Mozart in the drawing. But ultimately we can’t prove it.

Which is presumably not such an important aspect for your music his- torical research?

Precisely, this is just one small pic- ture in a very large assortment of drawings. If we were to focus solely on the question of whether it really is Mo- zart, Coletti- ni’s role as a representative of her times would retreat into the background again. Perhaps it is a por- trait of Mozart. We have good reason to believe so. But even if we could be sure, naturally it is not Mozart but a picture Colletti- ni drew of a musician she met in Vienna. So the question of whether it is a picture of Mozart is the wrong one to ask direct at this source.
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Literature on the subject:

Did Mozart and Coletti- ni ever meet? Yes, and there is proof of it. Celeste Coletti- ni was an opera buffa singer. For ten years she was the undisputed prima donna in Naples. Joseph II, ho- wever, was always on the lookout for talented singers for his Viennese stages and he brought her in straight from Italy’s best stages. So Coletti- ni came to Vienn and her first season there was very successful. She was also inVien- na for a second season, but it was less successful. The precise circumstances are a little unclear. She arrived late in the city and missed some rehearsals. The sources don’t provide sufficient details. But we do know that the two were in contact with each other during that season because Mozart composed for Coletti- ni.

"Music is more than what the composer puts down on paper"

What do you mean by that?

The event of opera staging in 18th cen- tury never entirely goes out of the score. It was the singers, and above all the primo uomo and prima donna, who had a great influence on what was sung. This was because on the one hand the parts were specifically written for particular singers by the composer, and secondly because the singers were allowed to add their own arias to an opera, which meant that composers constantly received requests to compo- se them. Coletti- ni also came to Mozart with such a request, and he composed several ensembles for operas that she performed in Vienna. But in order to write those parts for her he would have to have been very familiar with her voice. So they did meet. And perhaps Mozart – like Paisiello – even sat next to her at the harpsichord.

Do the sketchbooks make any refe- rence to this meeting?

One of the sketchbooks was used by Coletti- ni while she was working